ENVIRONMENTAL PRODUCT DECLARATION

as per ISO 14025 and EN 15804

Owner of the Declaration ARGE; European Federation of Associations of Lock and Builders

Hardware Manufacturers

Programme holder Institut Bauen und Umwelt e.V. (IBU)

Publisher Institut Bauen und Umwelt e.V. (IBU)

Declaration number EPD-ARG-20160189-IBG1-EN

ECO EPD Ref. No. ECO-00000409

Issue date 14.09.2016 Valid to 13.09.2022

Lock cylinders

ARGE; European Federation of Associations of Lock and Builders Hardware Manufacturers

(This EPD is valid only for products supplied by an ARGE EPD licence holder)



www.ibu-epd.com / https://epd-online.com











1. General Information

ARGE

Programme holder

IBU - Institut Bauen und Umwelt e.V. Panoramastr. 1 10178 Berlin Germany

Declaration number

EPD-ARG-20160189-IBG1-EN

This Declaration is based on the Product Category Rules:

Building Hardware products, 02.2016 (PCR tested and approved by the SVR)

Issue date

14.09.2016

Valid to

13.09.2021

Wermanes

Prof. Dr.-Ing. Horst J. Bossenmayer (President of Institut Bauen und Umwelt e.V.)

Dr. Burkhart Lehmann (Managing Director IBU)

Lock cylinders

Owner of the Declaration

ARGE; European Federation of Associations of Lock and Builders Hardware Manufacturers Offerstraße 12, 42551 Velbert Germany

Declared product / Declared unit

1 kg of lock cylinder

Scope:

This Association EPD covers lock cylinders, security devices used to close openings by means of a key. The reference product used to calculate the impacts for this group of products is a cylinder composed primarily of brass, zinc and steel, selected as the product having the highest impact by means of sustainability of the sample group. A validity scope analysis has been carried out to determine the limiting factors for locking cylinders eligible to be covered by this EPD. The LCA assessment is based on a Thumbturn cylinder (70 mm) mainly made of brass, zinc and steel. In a preliminary study (simplified LCA), it turned out, that this EPD represents the worst case approach in order to cover all the lock cylinders manufactured in Europe by ARGE's member companies.

The owner of the declaration shall be liable for the underlying information and evidence; the IBU shall not be liable with respect to manufacturer information, life cycle assessment data and evidences.

Verification

The CEN Norm /EN 15804/ serves as the core PCR Independent verification of the declaration according to /ISO 14025/

<	external	١

Il Den

Dr. Frank Werner (Independent verifier appointed by SVR)

2. Product

2.1 Product description

This EPD refers to lock cylinders, mechanisms used to engage and disengage a locking mechanism by means of a key. It covers lock cylinders varying on material composition, and for different security grades.

2.2 Application

These products are designed to be integrated into door assemblies of varying materials and applications. Lock cylinder are integrated into locks. They may be used for either interior or exterior doors.

2.3 Technical Data

Normative reference: EN 1303 cylinders for locks

Technical data for locking cylinder acc. to the classification in EN 1303

Name	Value	Unit
Category of use	1	Grade
Durability	4 - 6	Grade

door mass	0	Grade
Suitability for use in fire resisting and/or smoke control doors	0, A, B	Grade
Safety	0	Grade
Corrosion resistance and temperature	0, A, B	Grade
Key related security	1 - 6	Grade
Attack resistance	0, A, B, D	Grade

2.4 Application rules

For the placing on the market in the EU/EFTA (with the exception of Switzerland) the Regulation (EU) No 305/2011 "Construction products regulation" has to be regarded.

In detail, the following harmonized product standard applies:

/EN 1303/ Building hardware - Cylinders for locks – In case that the products need to get CE-marked, a



"declaration of performance" in accordance with this standard is obligatory.

For the application and use, respective additional national provisions may apply.

2.5 Delivery status

The products are sold by unit. Deliveries of a single unit might be possible but will be an exception. Regular deliveries will cover a larger amount of locks as they are put on the market as "b to b" products and not for a final customer.

2.6 Base materials / Ancillary materials

Regarding the product analysed for this EPD:

The values are given for the product analysed for this EPD; ranges of the values for each material for the validity scope are given in brackets in this table.

Name	Value	Unit
Brass (24.88% – 92.61%)	67.62	%
Zinc (0.00% – 39.98%)	19.71	%
Steel (4.15% – 41.48%)	10.34	%
Sinter iron (0.00% – 2.81%)	2.25	%
Nickel (0.00% – 0.75%)	0	%
Nickel silver (0.00% – 11.19%)	0	%
Bronze (0.00% – 0.75%)	0	%
Stainless steel (0.00% – 11.44%)	0	%
Iron (0.00% – 3.03%)	0	%
Nylon 6 (0.00% – 5.15%)	0	%

Nylon 66 and Acetal as ancillary material.

The product contains no substances cited on the REACH list of hazardous substances.

Zamak is an alloy of four separate metals: zinc, aluminium, magnesium and copper. Subcomponents of the lock cylinder, which are made from zamak, are diecast.

Steel is produced by combining iron with carbon as well as other elements depending on the desired characteristics. The subcomponents made of steel are formed by stamping.

Brass is an alloy of zinc and copper. Subcomponents made of brass are made by forging.

Nickel silver is an alloy of copper (~60%) with nickel (~20%) and zinc (~20%). Subcomponents made of nickel silver are formed by stamping.

Nylon 66 is a polyamide produced by the polycondensation of hexamethylenediamine and adipic acid in equal parts. This can then be combined with glass fibres to improve its mechanical properties. Subcomponents made of nylon are formed by injection moulding.

Acetal, or polyoxymethylene, is produced via polymerisation of anhydrous formaldehyde. Subcomponents made of acetal are also formed by injection moulding.

2.7 Manufacture

The production of a lock cylinder regularly follows a 3 step procedure:

- 1. Prefabrication of the semi-finished products (usually by stamp punching or laser cutting) This step might include a surface treatment on factory site or by external manufacturers.
- 2. Preassembly of assembly modules (onsite factory)
- 3. Final assembly (onsite factory)

2.8 Environment and health during manufacturing

Regular measurements of air quality and noise levels are performed by ARGE members manufacturers. The results are within the compulsory safety levels. In areas where employees are exposed to chemical products, prescribed safety clothes and technical safety devices are provided. Regular health checks are mandatory for employees of production sites.

2.9 Product processing/Installation

The installation of the product could vary depending on the type of door and the specific situation but products do not require energy consumption for installation.

2.10 Packaging

Normally each single product is packaged in paper. Larger amounts of 12 to 50 packaged products are then packed in a cardboard box and stacked on wooden pallets for transport to the customer (Door or window manufacturers).

Wastes of product packaging are collected separately for waste valorisation including recycling.

2.11 Condition of use

Once installed, the products require no servicing during their expected service lives. There is no consumption of water or energy linked to their use, and they do not cause any emissions.

2.12 Environment and health during use

No environmental damage or health risks are expected within the normal conditions of use of the product.

2.13 Reference service life

The Reference Service Life for this product is 10 years. This is based on mechanical endurance test as specified in the EN 1303. The product is guaranteed to maintain its performance for at least 50 000 cycles of use.

2.14 Extraordinary effects

Fire

The product is suitable for use in fire resisting and/or smoke control door set according to 1 of the classes 0,A,B.

Water

The declared product is designated to be used in regular conditions of a building indoor or outdoor use. A lock cylinder is composed mainly of metal or plastic components and does not eluate hazardous ingredients in case of an unforeseen flooding.

Mechanical destruction

In case of mechanical destruction of the declared product, it does not perform any impact on the environment or alter its substantial composition.

2.15 Re-use phase

Used components of a lock cylinder are materials of high quality. After the use stage, they can be recycled. In case of the disassembly of the product, no impacts on the environment are to be concerned. As a rule, reusing the lock cylinders as hardware device as a whole will not be an economical procedure.



2.16 Disposal

In case of the disassembly of a door or window, the product might be removed and disposed separately. Since this is a simple procedure, the lock cylinders might get recycled completely. The waste code in accordance with the /European Waste Code/ is 17 04 07

2.17 Further information

Builders hardware lock cylinders are manufactured in several different designs and construction types in general. Variations are subject to different types, sizes and requirements of the door/window. In general, the same product types might be suitable for wooden, steel or plastic based doors.

Details to be shown on the manufacturers' websites listed on http://arge.org/members/members-directory.htm

3. LCA: Calculation rules

3.1 Declared Unit

The declared unit for lock cylinders covered in this Association EPD is 1 kg. As single lock cylinders of the same production type can be custom made for an application situation and the weight of those variations of the same product type may be considerable, it is more appropriate to declare the weight of the product and the weight of the representative product rather than one item.

An evaluation of 8 samples of characteristic product individuals based on sales figures was taken for the feasibility study, the worst case product has been taken for the result of this EPD described in section 5.

Declared unit

Name	Value	Unit
Declared unit mass	1	Kg
Mass of declared product	0.294	kg

The EPD is valid only for EPDs with the range of the material composition as specified in section 2.6.

3.2 System boundary

The type of the EPD is "cradle-to-grave". The analysis of the product life cycle includes the production and transport of the raw materials, manufacture of the product and the packaging materials, which are declared in modules A1-A3. Losses during production are considered as waste and are sent to recycling. No recycling processes are taken into account except transport and an electricity consumption for grinding the metals. When recycled metals are used as raw material, only their transformation process is taken into account and not the extraction of the raw material.

A4 module represents the transport of the finished product to the installation site.

There is no waste associated with the installation of the product. The A5 module therefore represents only the disposal of the product packaging.

For the RSL considered for this study, there are no inputs or outputs for the stages B1-B7.

The End-of-Life (EoL) stages are also considered. The transportation to the EoL disposal site is taken into account in module C2. Module C4 covers the disposal of the lock cylinders. Module C3 covers the recycling of the individual elements according to European averages, with the remaining waste divided between incineration and landfill. The same assumption as for waste to recycling in A3 is used here.

For end of life modules (C1 to C4) the system boundaries from the /XP P01-064/CN/ standard have been followed, see annex H.2 and H.6 of the standard document cited previously for figures and further details.

In practice the end-of-life has been modeled as follows:

- When material is sent to recycling generic transport and electric consumption of a shredder is taken into account (corresponding to the process "Grinding, metals"). Only then, the material is considered to have attained the "end-of-waste" state.
- Each type of waste is modeled as a transport to the treatment site with a distance of 30 km (source: /FD P01-015/). Parts sent to recycling include an electricity consumption (grinding) and a flow ("Materials for recycling, unspecified").

Four scenarios for the end-of-life of the products have been declared for this EPD:

- one with 100% of the product going to landfill
- one with 100% of the product going to incineration
- one with 100% of the product going to recycling
- one mixed scenario consisting of the previous three scenarios, values depending of the amount of waste going to recycling.

Module D has not been declared.

3.3 Estimates and assumptions

The LCA data of the declared lock cylinder has been calculated by the production data of in total 4 member companies of the ARGE associations, representing 8 different products. These companies had been chosen by ARGE as being representative by means of their production processes and their market shares. The product chosen as representative for this calculation follows the "worst case" principle as explained in section 6. LCA interpretation.

3.4 Cut-off criteria

The cut -off criteria considered are 1% of renewable and non-renewable primary energy usage and 1% of the total mass of that unit process. The total neglected input flows per module shall be a maximum of 5% of energy usage and mass.

For this study, all input and output flows have been considered at 100%, including raw materials as per the product composition provided by the manufacturer and packaging of raw materials as well as the final product. Energy and water consumptions have also been considered at 100% according to the data provided. With the approach chosen, no significant environmental impacts are known to have been cut-off.

3.5 Background data

For life cycle modeling of the considered product, all relevant background datasets are taken from the ecoinvent 3.1 – Alloc Rec database. The life cycle analysis software used is SimaPro (V8.0.5), developed by PRé Consulting.



3.6 Data quality

The time factor, the life cycle inventory data used comes from:

Data collected specifically for this study on the ARGE manufacturers' sites. Data sets are based on 1-year averaged data (time period: January 2013 to December 2013).

In the absence of collected data, generic data from the ecoinvent V3 database. This is updated regularly and is representative of current processes (the entire database having been updated in 2014).

3.7 Period under review

The data of the LCA is based on the annual production data of several member companies of ARGE Associations from 2013.

Other values, e.g. for the processing of the base materials, are taken from the/ ecoinvent v3/.1 Alloc Rec where the dataset age varies for each dataset, see ecoinvent documentation for more information.

3.8 Allocation

The products are produced in numerous production sites. All data were provided by the manufacturers of the products per unit and then divided by the mass of the product to give a value per kg of product produced. The assumptions relating to the EoL of the product are described in the section System Boundaries. Metal losses during production (stage A3) are considered as waste.

3.9 Comparability

Basically, a comparison or an evaluation of EPD data is only possible if all the data sets to be compared were created according to /EN 15804/ and the building context, respectively the product-specific characteristics of performance, are taken into account. The used background database has to be mentioned.

4. LCA: Scenarios and additional technical information

The following technical information is a basis for the declared modules or can be used for developing specific scenarios in the context of a building assessment if modules are not declared (MND).

Transport to the building site (A4)

Name	Value	Unit
Litres of fuel	0.0045	l/100km
Transport distance	3500	km
Capacity utilisation (including empty runs)	36	%

Installation into the building (A5)

Name	Value	Unit
Material loss	0.137	kg

The scope of this study does not cover the installation of the product, which varies depending on the type of door and the specific situation. The disposal of the product packaging has been taken into account. End of life packaging are a mix between recycling, landfill and incineration according to French ADEME statistics.

No reuse of packaging is considered in this study.

product over 30 km between the dismantling site and the next treatment site is made (source: FD P01-015).

Reuse, recovery and/or recycling potentials (D), relevant scenario information

As Module D has not been declared, materials destined for recycling have been accounted for in the indicator "Materials for recycling" however no benefit has been allocated.

Reference service life

Name	Value	Unit
Reference service life (condition of use : see §2.13)	10	а

End of life (C1-C4)

Name	Value	Unit
Collected separately (All scenarii)	1	kg
Recycling (Mixed scenario)	0.458	kg
Energy recovery (Mixed scenario)	0.249	kg
Landfilling (Mixed scenario)	0.293	kg
Incineration (100% incineration scenario) Scenario 1	1	kg
Landfilling (Landfill scenario) Scenario 2	1	kg
Recyling (100% recycling scenario) Scenario 3	1	kg

An assumption of a 16-32 tons truck transport of the



5. LCA: Results

In Table 1 "Description of the system boundary", the declared modules are indicated with an "X"; all modules that are not declared within the EPD but where additional data are available are indicated with "MND". Those data can also be used for building assessment scenarios. The values are declared with three valid digits in exponential

form.	'DIDT	ION C	E THE	= eve	ТЕМ В	OLINE	ADV	Y = IN	CLUD	ED IN	I CA+	MND =	MOD	IIIEN	OT DE	CLAE	PED)
DEGC	ZIXII I	ioit c		_ 010		CONE	AIXI	<u> </u>	OLOD	LD IIN	LOA, I		IVIOD	OLL N			ITS AND
PRODUCT STAGE			ON PR	TRUCTI ROCESS AGE	1	USE STAGE END OF LIFE STAGE							LOADS BEYOND THE SYSTEM BOUNDARIES				
Raw material supply	Transport	Manufacturing	Transport from the gate to the site	Assembly	Use	Maintenance	Repair	Replacement	Refurbishment	Operational energy use	Operational water use	De-construction demolition	Transport	Waste processing	Disposal	Reuse- Recovery-	Recycling- potential
A 1	A2	А3	A4	A5	B1	B2	В3	B4	B5	В6	В7	C1	C2	C3	C4	ı	D
Х	Χ	Х	X	Х	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	Х	Х	X	MI	ND
RESU	JLTS	OF TH	IE LC	A - EN	VIRON	IMENT	TAL IN	IPACT	: 1 kg	of loc	k cylin	der					
Param eter	U	nit	A1-A3	A4	A5	C2	C2/1	C2/2	C2/3	СЗ	C3/1	C3/2	C3/3	C4	C4/1	C4/2	C4/3
GWP	[kg C0	D ₂ -Eq.]	1.06E+1	1 5.89E-		5.05E-3		5.05E-3			0.00E+0	0.00E+0			5.23E-1	4.97E-1	0.00E+0
ODP	[kg CFC	:11-Eq.]	7.56E-7	1.08E-7	7 3.06E- 10	9.26E- 10	9.26E- 10	9.26E- 10	9.26E- 10	4.26E- 10	0.00E+0	0.00E+0	9.30E- 10	8.69E- 11	4.02E-9	3.43E-9	0.00E+0
AP	[kg SC		3.81E-1		3 1.24E-5	2.05E-5	2.05E-5	2.05E-5	2.05E-5	1.65E-5		0.00E+0	3.60E-5	4.36E-6			0.00E+0
EP POCP	[kg (PC	.,			5.59E-6 2.92E-6												0.00E+0 0.00E+0
ADPE	[kg Sl			1	3.55E-9		1	3 1.67E-8			i i			0 10E			0.00E+0
ADPF	[kg Si				0 3.02E-2			7.69E-2						10			
ADFF					ntial; ODP												
Captio					P = Form	nation pot	tential of		eric ozon	e photoc	hemical o	oxidants;	ADPE =				
RESL	JLTS	OF TH	IE LC	A - RE	SOUR	CE US	SE: 1 k	g of lo	ck cyl	inder							
Parame	eter l	Jnit /	A1-A3	A4	A5	C2	C2/1	C2/2	C2/3	C3	C3/1	C3/2	C3/3	C4	C4/1	C4/2	C4/3
PER			.86E+1 1		1.90E-3 9 1.43E+0 0												0.00E+0
PERI PER					1.43E+0 9												
PENF					3.62E-2												
PENF					0.00E+0 0 3.62E-2												
SM	_				0.00E+0 C												
RSF					0.00E+0												
NRS FW					0.00E+0 0 2.36E-5												
	FW [m³] 1.70E-1 1.72E-3 2.36E-5 1.48E-5 1.48E-5 1.48E-5 1.48E-5 3.00E-5 0.00E+0 0.00E+0 6.54E-5 1.69E-5 1.17E-3 3.42E-4 0.00E+0 PERE = Use of renewable primary energy excluding renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PERM = Use of renewable primary energy resources; PENRE = Use of non-renewable primary energy excluding non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PENRM = Use of non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PENRM = Use of non-renewable primary energy resources; SM = Use of secondary material; RSF = Use of renewable secondary fuels; NRSF = Use of non-renewable secondary fuels; FW = Use of net fresh water																
RESU				A – Ol	JTPUT	FLOV	VS AN	D WAS	STE C	ATEG	ORIES						
Parame			A1-A3	A4	A5	C2	C2/1	C2/2	C2/3	СЗ	C3/1	C3/2	C3/3	C4	C4/1	C4/2	C4/3
HWI		kg] 1	.66E+0	5.64E-3	2.34E-4 4	4.83E-5	4.83E-5	4.83E-5	4.83E-5	2.81E-4	0.00E+0	0.00E+0	6.14E-4	2.88E-3	2.66E-1	1.24E-3	0.00E+0
NHW	D	kg] 3	.67E+1 4	4.68E-1	2.24E-2 4	4.01E-3	4.01E-3	4.01E-3	4.01E-3	1.27E-3	0.00E+0	0.00E+0	2.77E-3	1.29E-2	1.45E-2	1.00E+0	0.00E+0
RWI CRU	-				2.07E-7 (
MFF	-	kg] 7	7.99E-1 C	0.00E+0	9.76E-2 C	0.00E+0	0.00E+0	0.00E+0	0.00E+0	4.58E-1	0.00E+0	0.00E+0	1.00E+0	0.00E+0	0.00E+0	0.00E+0	0.00E+0
MEF	2	kg] 0			0.00E+0												
EEE	: [MJ] 5	.29E-4 C).UUE+0	2.45E-2 C).UOE+0 (J.00E+0	0.00E+0	J.00E+01	ODE+OL	U.00E+01	U.00E+0	U.00E+0	1.50E-2	11.39E+0	10 00F+0	10.00E+0
FFT		M.II 1											0.00F+0	307F-2			
EET	_ i		.10E-3	0.00E+0	5.14E-2 (sposed; N).00E+0	0.00E+0	0.00E+0	0.00E+0	0.00E+0	0.00E+0	0.00E+0			2.85E+0	0.00E+0	0.00E+0

thermal energy Other end of life scenarios have been calculated in order to build specific end of life scenario at the building level:

- scenario 1: the product is considered to be 100% incinerated
- scenario 2: the product is considered to be 100% landfilled
- scenario 3: the product is considered to be 100% recycled




6. LCA: Interpretation

Production stages (A1 and A3) are the main contributors to all environment indicators. A1 impacts are mainly due to brass and zinc extraction and production. A3 impacts come from the turning process and brass losses during the manufacturing of the

product. Transport stage A4 has a non-negligible impact on ODP.

The results are conservative as complying with the composition given in section 2.6.



7. Requisite evidence

No testing results are required by the PCR part B.

8. References

ISO 14040

ISO 14040:2006-10, Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – Principles and framework (ISO 14040:2006)." German and English version EN ISO 14040:2006

DIN EN ISO 14044

DIN EN ISO 14044:2006-10, Environmental Management – Life Cycle Assessment Requirements and Instructions (ISO 14044:2006); German and English version EN ISO 14044:2006

CEN/TR 15941

CEN/TR 15941:2010-03, Sustainability of construction works –Environmental Product Declarations – Methodology for selection and use of generic data; German version CEN/TR 15941:2010

EN 1303

EN 1303:2015, Cylinders for locks- Requirements and test methods.

FD P01-015

FD P01-015: 2006, Environmental quality of construction products - Energy and transport data sheet

European Waste Code

epa – European Waste Catalogue and Hazardous Waste List - 01-2002.

Ecoinvent 3.1

Ecoinvent 3.1 - Allocation Recycling database.

IBU PCR part A

Part A: Calculation Rules for the Life Cycle Assessment and Requirements on the Project report, 2016-08.

IBU PCR part B

Part B: Requirements on the EPD for Building Hardware products, 2016-02.



Institut Bauen und Umwelt

Institut Bauen und Umwelt e.V., Berlin(pub.): Generation of Environmental Product Declarations (EPDs);

www.ibu-epd.de

ISO 14025

DIN EN ISO 14025:2011-10: Environmental labels and declarations — Type III environmental declarations — Principles and procedures

EN 15804

EN 15804:2012-04+A1 2013: Sustainability of construction works — Environmental Product

Declarations — Core rules for the product category of construction products



Publisher

Institut Bauen und Umwelt e.V. Panoramastr. 1 10178 Berlin Germany Tel +49 (0)30 3087748- 0 Fax +49 (0)30 3087748- 29 Mail info@ibu-epd.com Web **www.ibu-epd.com**



Programme holder

Institut Bauen und Umwelt e.V. Panoramastr 1 10178 Berlin Germany Tel +49 (0)30 - 3087748 - 0 Fax +49 (0)30 - 3087748 - 29 Mail info@ibu-epd.com Web www.ibu-epd.com



Author of the Life Cycle Assessment

CETIM Tel rue de la Presse 7 Fax 42952 Saint-Etienne Cedex 1 Mai France Wei

Tel 0033477794042 Fax 0033477794107 Mail sqr@cetim.fr Web www.cetim.fr



Owner of the Declaration

ARGE; European Federation of Associations of Lock and Builders Hardware Manufacturers Offerstraße 12 42551 Velbert Germany Tel +49 (0)2051 9506 36 Fax +49 (0)2051 9506 25 Mail info@arge.org Web www.arge.org